PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL040053WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/IB2005/050198	International filing date (day/month/year) 18 January 2005 (18.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 January 2004 (22.01.2004)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	ence to the written opinion of the period on patentability (Chap	of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference opter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following ite	ms:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of op applicability	oinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	·			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	i			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the in	ternational application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on	the international application			
4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44his.3(c) and 93his.1 hut not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).						
			Date of issuance of this report 24 July 2006 (24.07.2006)			
The International Bureau of WIPO		eau of WIPO	Authorized officer			
34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		olombettes	Cecile Chatel			
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland			e-mail: pt13@wipo.int			

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D I R MAY 2005

 _	v	v	1.14	"	LV	,

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

WIPO

To:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050198

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

22.01.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01L23/46, H01L23/467, H01L23/473, F04B43/04

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items.

Box No. 1

Basis of the opinion

Box No. II

Priority

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III

☐ Box No. IV Box No. V

Lack of unity of invention

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Certain documents cited □ Box No. VI

☐ Box No. VII

Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION 2.

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Cortes Rosa, Joao

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2264



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050198

	Day No		Basis of the opinion		
	Box No				
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	lar (ur	igua nder	Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	of n	naterial:		
	. 🗆	a s	equence listing		
	, D	tab	le(s) related to the sequence listing		
b. format of material:					
		in v	vritten format		
		in c	computer readable form		
	c. time	of f	iling/furnishing:		
	. \square	coı	ntained in the international application as filed.		
		file	d together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3	h: Ci	as b	lition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto een filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as priate, were furnished.		
4	. Additi	onal	comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/050198

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-33

Claims No:

Yes: Claims

2,20

Claims No:

1,3-19,21-33

Industrial applicability (IA)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-33

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-0 483 469 (HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY) 6 May 1992 (1992-05-06)

D2: US-A-5 288 214 (FUKUDA ET AL) 22 February 1994 (1994-02-22)

D3: US 2002/050148 A1 (SHYY WEI ET AL) 2 May 2002 (2002-05-02)

D4: US-B1-6 272 866 (TSAI MING-JYE ET AL) 14 August 2001 (2001-08-14)

- 2. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 3-18 and 21-33 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.1 Each of documents D1 (see the abstract; claims 1 and 9) and D2 (see the abstract; col. 1, line 24 col. 2, line 5) discloses a micropump for fluids comprising a heat-driven pumping element (D1: diaphragm 28; D2: gel medium 12). Although not explicitly stated in these documents, it is known to the skilled person that this kind of micropumps is highly suitable to be used in the field of cooling electronic devices by means of fluids, as becomes apparent from documents D3 (see e.g. "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION" on page 1) and D4 (see e.g. "BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION" on cols. 1 and 2). Merely by using the micropump of D1 or D2 for pumping cooling fluids to, or from an electronic device, the skilled person would inevitably meet the subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 12 without exercising any inventive skill.
- 2.2 Dependent claims 3-11, 13-18 and 21-33 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step.
- 3. The combination of the features of claims 1 and 2 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows: Although

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/050198

heat-driven micropumps for fluids are known from documents D1 and D2, these documents do not give any particular indication as to how to use these pumps for cooling electronic devices. Since none of the remaining documents of the International Search Report discloses a heat-driven pump, to use the heat generated by an electronic device to drive a pump for the cooling of this device is something that cannot be derived from any combination of documents of the International Search Report.

The same consideration applies to a combination of the subject-matter of claims 12, 19 and 20.